



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,542	01/26/2004	Itschak Weissman	200208667-1	1619

22879 7590 07/09/2010

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
3404 E. Harmony Road
Mail Stop 35
FORT COLLINS, CO 80528

EXAMINER

GUARINO, RAHEL

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2611

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

07/09/2010

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM
ipa.mail@hp.com
laura.m.clark@hp.com

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/765,542	Applicant(s) WEISSMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner RAHEL GUARINO	Art Unit 2611	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 March 2010.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 19-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 19,24-26 and 30-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 20-23,27-29 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to communication filed on 3/30/2010.

Response to Arguments

Applicant arguments

2. In response to applicant's arguments, the recitation "lemma does not teach, mention, or even remotely suggest an *apparatus for denoising an input noisy signal or a method of denoising a noisy signal and partially corrected signal to generate an output signal*" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

In addition, applicants are reminded that the examiner is entitled to give the broadest reasonable interpretation to the language of the claim and is not limited to Applicant's definition, *In re Tanaka et al.*, 193 USPQ 139, (CCPA) 1977.

3. In response to applicant's argument that Lemma is nonanalogous (*unrelated*) art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

In this case, Lemma discloses a method and apparatus for compensating changes in the received signal. The method and apparatus use plurality of buffers (N_b 320), a controller (24) and E[m] noisy part (col. 8 lines 37-40), which includes (Y_b), sequence of symbols. Each symbol is sequentially arranged in the buffers (*for ex. Fig.12 shows location of the symbols in rows; col. 11 lines 30-35*). Different estimates of the symbols within the buffers (*previously estimated W_{I1} from buffer one will be taken to buffer four, etc.*) will be replaced with other symbol until the sequential symbol collection is repeated until the end of the buffer is reached; col.13 lines 46-55).

Furthermore, Lemma teaches applying the method and apparatus other type signals.

4. Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 19, 24-26, 30-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lemma et al. US 7,266,466

Re claim 19, Lemma discloses an apparatus for denoising an input noisy signal, the apparatus comprising (fig.8):

one or more memories (N_b buffers 320); and a controller (24) that receives the noisy signal z ($E[m]$ noisy part ;col.8 lines 37-40) that includes a number of sequentially ordered symbols (Y_b), each symbol having a position (fig.12 shows position of symbols $W11_{[1]}-W11_{[L_b]}$); col. 11 lines 19-22), stores the noisy signal z ($E[m]$) in the one or more memories (buffers $B1-B4$; col. 11 lines 30-35), receives a signal r ($Y'[n]$), output from a preliminary denoising system (230) that operates on the received noisy signal z ($Y'[n]$), that includes a number of sequentially ordered symbols (Y_b), and produces an output signal z' ($W_e[m]$) by replacing a symbol within each of a number of different subsequences that occur in the noisy signal z ($E[m]$) with a corresponding replacement symbol that the controller computes to provide a minimal estimated signal degradation (replacing different estimated until the sequential symbol collection is repeated until the end; col.13 lines 46-55; fig.13b shows the position of the symbols).

Art Unit: 2611

Re claim 24, the apparatus of claim 19 wherein a subsequence $z(q)$ ($(Wl1-Wl4)$) is a number of symbols that precede, follow, or both precede (*increment, moving from left to right*) and follow a symbol Zq at position q in noisy sequence z (*fig. 13b; shows the position of the symbols; col. 13 lines 36-45*).

Re claim 25, the apparatus of claim 24 in which the number of symbols in a subsequence is determined by the controller to be sufficiently small to ensure that the number of occurrences of each subsequence is sufficiently large to provide a desired statistical significance to signal degradation estimation (*replacing different estimated until the sequential symbol collection is repeated until the end; col. 13 lines 46-55*) and sufficiently large to ensure that an adequate number of subsequence correlations contribute to denoising (*col. 15 lines 17-26*).

Re claim 26, Lemma discloses a method for denoising an input noisy signal and partially corrected to generate an output signal, the apparatus comprising (*fig. 8*): one or more memories (N_b buffers 320); and a controller (24) that receives the noisy signal z ($E[m]$ noisy part ;*col. 8 lines 37-40*) that includes a number of sequentially ordered symbols (Y_b), each symbol having a position (*fig. 12 shows position of symbols $Wl1_{[1]}-Wl1_{[Lb]}$; col. 11 lines 19-22*), stores the noisy signal z ($E[m]$) in the one or more memories (*buffers B1-B4; col. 11 lines 30-35*), receives a signal r ($Y'[n]$), output from a preliminary denoising system (230) that operates on the received noisy signal z ($Y'[n]$), that includes a number of sequentially ordered symbols (Y_b), and produces an output signal z' ($W_e[m]$) by replacing a symbol within each of a number of different subsequences that occur in the noisy signal z ($E[m]$) with a corresponding replacement

Art Unit: 2611

symbol that the controller computes to provide a minimal estimated signal degradation *(replacing different estimated until the sequential symbol collection is repeated until the end; col.13 lines 46-55; fig.13b shows the position of the symbols)*.

Re claim 30, the method of claim 26 wherein a subsequence $z(q)$ ($(Wl1-Wl4)$) is a number of symbols that precede, follow, or both precede *(increment, moving from left to right)* and follow a symbol Zq at position q in noisy sequence z *(fig.13b; shows the position of the symbols; col.13 lines 36-45)*.

Re claim 31, the method of claim 26 in which the number of symbols in a subsequence is determined by the controller to be sufficiently small to ensure that the number of occurrences of each subsequence is sufficiently large to provide a desired statistical significance to signal degradation estimation *(replacing different estimated until the sequential symbol collection is repeated until the end; col.13 lines 46-55)* and sufficiently large to ensure that an adequate number of subsequence correlations contribute to denoising *(col. 15 lines 17-26)*.

Re claim 32, Lemma discloses a computer readable medium encoded with a data processing program *(computer program; col. 16 lines 34-37)* for denoising an input noisy signal and partially corrected to generate an output signal by *(fig.8;col. 2 lines 37-40)*:

receiving the noisy signal z *($E[m]$ noisy part ;col.8 lines 37-40)* that includes a number of sequentially ordered symbols (Y_b) , each symbol having a position *(fig.12 shows position of symbols $Wl1_{[1]}-Wl1_{[Lb]}$); col. 11 lines 19-22)*, storing the noisy signal z *($E[m]$)* in the one or more memories *(buffers $B1-B4$; col. 11 lines 30-35)*, receiving a signal r *($Y'[n]$)*,

Art Unit: 2611

output from a preliminary denoising system (230) that operates on the received noisy signal z ($Y'[n]$), that includes a number of sequentially ordered symbols (Y_b), and producing an output signal z' ($W_e[m]$) by replacing a symbol within each of a number of different subsequences that occur in the noisy signal z ($E[m]$) with a corresponding replacement symbol that the controller computes to provide a minimal estimated signal degradation (*replacing different estimated until the sequential symbol collection is repeated until the end; col.13 lines 46-55; fig.13b shows the position of the symbols*).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 20-23, 27-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2611

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rahel Guarino whose telephone number is (571)270-1198. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Payne David can be reached on 571-272-3024. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rahel Guarino/
Examiner, Art Unit 2611

Application/Control Number: 10/765,542

Page 9

Art Unit: 2611

/David C. Payne/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611